

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,781	01/26/2004	Evan L. Davies	063718.0342	9742
23640	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS, LLP			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
910 LOUISIA HOUSTON,	INA TX 77002-4995		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\widehat{}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	lin
	10/764,781	DAVIES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/764,781

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to an induction coil form, classified in class 336, subclass

198.

II. Claims 12-22, drawn to a method for making a ceramic induction coil form,

classified in class 29, subclass 602.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

induction coil form can be made by using different type of molding process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

figures 3A-3B; and

- Embodiment 2:

figures 4A-4B.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,781

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/764,781

Art Unit: 2832

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TTN

Tuylu T. Nguylu

Page 4